

DELHI ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION

Viniyamak Bhawan, 'C' Block, Shivalik, Malviya Nagar, New Delhi- 110 017

F.11(1446)/DERC/2016-17/5597

Petition No. 05/2017

Under section 142 of the Electricity Act, 2003

In the matter of:

Tata Power Delhi Distribution Ltd.

Through its: M.D

Grid Sub – Station Building,
Hudson Lines, Kingsway Camp
New Delhi – 110009

.....**Complainant**

VERSUS

Delhi Transco Limited
Shakti Sadan, Kotla Road,
New Delhi – 110002

.....**Respondent**

CORAM: Sh. B.P. Singh, Member

Appearance:

1. Shri Parveen Verma, TPDDL;
2. Shri Sarangapani Goswani, TPDDL;
3. Shri Pourush Garg, TPDDL;
4. Shri Bharat Bhalawat, TPDDL;
5. Ms. Anukriti Jain, TPDDL;
6. MS. Swapna Seshadri, Advocate for Respondent;
7. Shri P.K. Shandilya, DTL;
8. Shri Ajay Kumar Sharma, DTL
9. Shri R.S. Meena, DTL;
10. Shri Amit Singh, DTL

INTERIM ORDER

(Date of Hearing: 08.03.2018)

(Date of Order: 12.03.2018)

1. The instant petition has been filed by TPDDL u/s 142 of the Electricity Act, 2003 read with the DERC Grid Code Regulations, 2008; the CERC (Standards of Performance of inter-State transmission licensees) Regulations, 2012; the National Tariff Policy, 2016; and DERC Conduct of Business Regulations, 2001 seeking directions from the Commission to the Respondent, Delhi Transco Limited for performance of its obligations towards maintenance of Transmission network.
2. The Counsel for the Petitioner submitted that the Respondent has been in continuous violation of its various statutory obligations of augmenting the transmission lines in Delhi. The Petitioner further submitted that in a meeting held on 01.12.2014 to review the preparedness of Delhi transmission and

distribution system to meet the summer peak demand of 2015, the Respondent was directed to finalize the funding agency for taking loan for implementation of the transmission schemes for Delhi within a week. Further the Respondent was directed to ensure commissioning of their part of works before May 2015 and accordingly obtain their Board's approval timely and to complete award of ICTs by Dec 14."

3. The Counsel for the Respondent submitted that the transmission network provided by the Respondent in Delhi is fulfilling the requirements of the transmission system criterion, as provided by the Delhi Grid Code and the Respondent has always discharged its duties as per the Tariff orders and other directions of the Commission. The Counsel for the Respondent further raised the issue about admissibility of the Petition stating that no violation of Regulation has been mentioned requiring action under Section 142 of the Electricity Act, 2003.
4. The parties are directed to have a joint meeting with the officers of the Commission in the 3rd week of April' 2018 to discuss the issues relating to augmentation of the transmission network as well as execution, commissioning of new projects in Delhi.
5. The matter is adjourned. The next date of hearing shall be intimated to the parties in due course.
6. Ordered accordingly.

Sd/-
(B. P. Singh)
Member