

**DELHI ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION**

Viniyamak Bhawan, 'C' Block, Shivalik, Malviya Nagar, New Delhi- 110 017

**F.11 (1271)/DERC/2015-16**

**Petition No. 58/2015**

Under section 142 of the Electricity Act, 2003

**In the matter of:**

Shri. Sumit Ramsisaria,  
Kh. No. 28/20, Ground Floor,  
Village Libaspur,  
New Delhi – 110042

.....**Complainant**

**VERSUS**

Tata Power Delhi Distribution Ltd.

**Through its: M.D**

Grid Sub – Station Building,  
Hudson Lines, Kingsway Camp  
New Delhi – 110009

.....**Respondent**

**CORAM: Sh. B.P. Singh, Member**

**Appearance:**

1. Shri B P Agarwal, Counsel for the Petitioner
2. Shri Manish Srivastava, Advocate for Respondent;
3. Shri O P Singh, DGM, TPDDL;
4. Shri Neeraj Singh, AM, TPDDL;

**INTERIM ORDER**

(Date of Hearing: 24.05.2018)

(Date of Order: 28.05.2018)

1. The instant petition has been filed by Shri Sumit Ramsisaria, under Section 142 of the Electricity Act, 2003 against Tata Power Delhi Distribution Ltd. for violation of the procedure laid down in the Delhi Electricity Supply Code and Performance Standards Regulations, 2007.
2. The Counsel for the Petitioner submitted that after the payment of dues, on 28.05.2011 as per the settlement before the PLA (Permanent Lok Adalat), the disconnected supply was restored by the Respondent and subsequently on 16.05.2015, it was disconnected without serving the disconnection notice.
3. The Counsel for the Respondent disputed the claim of the Petitioner and submitted that since the required commercial formalities were not carried out by the Petitioner, the supply was not restored and on inspection in 2015,

when it was found that the disconnected meter was live and the Petitioner was drawing power by illegally reconnecting the supply, a bill was raised on the basis of difference of meter readings. On non-payment of due amount, the supply was disconnected after serving the disconnection notice.

4. Keeping in view of the counter claims of reconnection of the supply, the Petitioner is directed to file evidentiary documents, within a week's time, to prove that the supply was restored by the Respondent.
5. The matter is adjourned. The next date of hearing shall be intimated to the parties in due course.

Sd/-  
(B. P. Singh)  
Member