



**Delhi Electricity Regulatory Commission**  
**Viniyamak Bhawan, 'C' Block, Shivalik, Malviya Nagar, New Delhi – 17**

No. F. 11(1302)/DERC/2015-16/5035

**Petition No. 77/2015**

**In the matter of:**      **Petition for seeking adjudication of dispute between the Distribution and Transmission Licensee along with (i) Complaint u/S 142 & 146 of the E.A 2003 seeking appropriate directives from the Commission in relation to disbursement of Short Term Open Access Charges by DTL and (ii) Petition u/s 62(6) of the E.A. 2003 seeking refund of excess tariff paid to DTL filed by TPDDL**

Tata Power Delhi Distribution Ltd.

**Through its Managing Director**

Grid Sub Station Building  
Hudson Lines, Kingsway Camp,  
Delhi 110 009

...Petitioner

**Versus**

Delhi Transco Limited  
Shakti Sadan, Kotla Marg,  
New Delhi 110002

....Respondent

**Coram:**

**Sh. B. P. Singh, Member**

**Appearance:**

1. Alok Shankar, Adv., TPDDL
2. Ms. Neha Garg, Adv. DTL
3. Mr. Sanjay Sharma, TPDDL
4. Ms. Aditi, TPDDL
5. Mr. Yogesh TPDDL
6. Mr. Rakesh Kumar, TPDDL
7. Mr. Varun Sharma, TPDDL
8. Mr. S. Sharma, TPDDL
9. Mr. Khursheed Alam, DTL
10. Mr. Ajay Kumar, Sharma, DTL
11. Mr. P.K. Shandilya, DTL

**INTERIM ORDER**

(Date of Hearing: 29.05.2018)

(Date of Order: 07.06.2018)

1. In the instant Petition the petitioner has alleged that as per the provisions of DERC (Terms and Conditions for Determination of Transmission Tariff) Regulations, 2007, and DERC (Terms and Conditions for Determination of Transmission Tariff) Regulations, 2011, the revenues earned from Short Term Open Access (STOA) is treated as revenue from other business. Accordingly, a Transmission Licensee is

required to adjust the charges collected from STOA consumers towards reduction of transmission charges by 75% of income earned by it through STOA.

2. The Counsel for the petitioner submitted that it has filed its claim for the payment of STOA and of LPSC on delayed payment on an affidavit as directed by the Commission.
3. The Counsel for the respondent requested for three weeks time to file reply to the claim filed by the petitioner.
4. The respondent is directed to file reply to the claim within three weeks, with an advance copy to the petitioner.
5. The next date of hearing will be informed to the parties in due course.
6. Ordered accordingly.

**Sd/-  
(B.P. Singh)  
Member**