



**DELHI ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION**  
Viniyamak Bhawan, 'C' Block, Shivalik, Malviya Nagar, New Delhi- 110017.

F.11(1546)/DERC/2017-18/6012

**PETITION NO. 62/2017**

**In the matter of : Remand Back matter in Appeal No. 255 of 2013.**

Delhi Transco Ltd.  
Shakti Sadan Kolta Road,  
New Delhi 110002

**...Petitioner**

Vs.

1. BSES Rajdhani Power Ltd.  
**Through its : CEO**  
BSES Bhawan, Nehru Place,  
New Delhi 110 019
2. BSES Yamuna Power Ltd.  
**Through its : CEO**  
Shakti Kiran Building,  
Karkardooma,  
Delhi 110 092
3. Tata Power Delhi Distribution Ltd.  
**Through its : Managing Director**  
Grid Sub Station Building  
Hudson Lines, Kingsway Camp  
Delhi 110 009
4. New Delhi Municipal Council  
Palika Kendra, Parliament Street  
New Delhi 110 001
5. Military Engineers Services  
Ministry of Defence  
Government of India  
New Delhi 110 011

**...Respondents**

**Coram: Hon'ble Mr. Justice S S Chauhan, Chairperson  
Hon'ble Mr. B.P. Singh, Member**

**Appearance:**

1. Ms. Swapna Seshadri, Adv., DTL
2. Mr. Ashwin Ramanathan, Adv., DTL
3. Mr. Buddy A Ranganadhan, Adv., BRPL, BYPL and TPDDL
4. Mr. Anupam Verma, Adv., BRPL, BYPL and TPDDL
5. Mr. Rahul Kinra, Advocate, BRPL, BYPL and TPDDL
6. Mr. Anish Garg, DTL
7. Mr. P K Shandilya, DTL
8. Mr. Ajay Kr. Sharma, DTL
9. Ms. Meghna Gill, DTL
10. Mr. Gabbar Singh, DTL

11. Mr. Sumit Gupta, DTL
12. Mr. Rajeev Chowdhry, BRPL
13. Mr. Ravi Shandilya, BRPL
14. Mr. Mayank Ahlawat, BRPL
15. Mr. Kanishk, BRPL
16. Mr. Shekhar Saklani, BYPL
17. Mr. Abhishek Srivastava, BYPL
18. MR. Sameer Singh, BYPL
19. Mr. Bharat Bhadawat, TPDDL
20. Mr. Anurag Bansal, TPDDL
21. Mr. Pradeep Kr. Shokeen, NDMC

**INTERIM ORDER**

(Date of Hearing: 24.07.2018)

(Date of Order: 09.08.2018)

1. The counsel appearing on behalf of Petitioner intimated that Hon'ble Commission's Order dated 28.05.2018 has been complied with and to the effect that an affidavit explaining income tax aspects has been filed.
2. The Counsel appearing on behalf of the Respondent contended that he had received the affidavit few minutes back and he requires some time to oppose the affidavit made by the Review Petitioner. Accordingly, he sought time for further action in this aspect.
3. The Hon'ble Commission on hearing both the sides granted time for two weeks for further necessary action.
4. The next date of hearing will be informed in due course
5. Ordered accordingly.

**Sd/-  
(B.P. Singh)  
Member**

**Sd/-  
(Justice S S Chauhan)  
Chairperson**