



Delhi Electricity Regulatory Commission

Viniyamak Bhawan, 'C' Block, Shivalik, Malviya Nagar, New Delhi – 17.

No. F.11(1593)/DERC/2017-18/6090

Petition No. 28/2018

In the matter of : **Petition under Section 142 and 146 of the Electricity Act, 2003 for continued Non Compliance of Order dated 22.08.2008 passed by the Commission in Petition no. 49 of 2007 and other related matters.**

State Load Despatch Centre, Delhi
SLDC Building, 33 KV Grid Sub-Station
Minto Road
New Delhi –110 002.

....Petitioner

Versus

- 1) BSES Rajdhani Power Limited
Through its: CEO
BSES Bhawan, Nehru Place,
New Delhi-110019
- 2) BSES Yamuna Power Ltd.
Through its: CEO
Shakti Kiran Building,
Karkardooma
New Delhi – 110092

.....Respondents

Coram: Sh. B.P. Singh, Member

Appearance:

1. Ms. Neha Garg, Adv. SLDC
2. Mr. Buddy A. Ranganathan, Adv. BRPL & BYPL
3. Mr. Rahul Kinra, Adv. BRPL & BYPL
4. Mr. Ashutosh Kr. Srivastava, Adv. BRPL & BYPL
5. Mr. P.K. Shandilya, SLDC
6. Mr. Deepak, SLDC
7. Ms. Sonal Garg, SLDC
8. Mr. Kanishk, BRPL
9. Mr. Ravi Shandilya, BRPL
10. Ms. Megha Bajpai, BRPL
11. Mr. Abhishek Srivastava, BYPL
12. Mr. Sameer Singh, BYPL
13. Mr. Haridas Maity, BYPL

INTERIM ORDER

(Date of Hearing: 29.05.2018)

(Date of Order: 11.06.2018)

1. The present petition has been filed by State Load Despatch Centre (SLDC), Delhi u/s 142 and 146 for seeking directions against the Respondents – BRPL and BYPL to ensure compliance towards various Orders passed by this Commission. The petitioner has made the following prayers in its petition:
 - a) Direct BRPL and BYPL to pay the Current due on weekly basis as and when raised by SLDC to avoid accumulation of outstanding dues;

- b) Direct BRPL and BYPL to pay interest on delayed payment as per the prevalent norms;
 - c) Impose penalty for non-compliance of the Commission's Order dated 22.08.2008;
 - d) Pass any such order to empower the SLDC to refuse NOC to short term open access of utilities in case of consistent payment default.
2. The Counsel for respondents BRPL and BYPL requested for four weeks time to file reply to the petition, it was further submitted that the present petition is a cross petition to petition No. 42 of 2017 filed by the respondents BRPL and BYPL against SLDC questioning the computation of UI charges by SLDC. Therefore, both the petitions may be tagged and heard together.
 3. The petition is admitted and is tagged with similar petition No. 42 of 2017.
 4. The petitioner is directed to provide a copy of the petition, if not already provided to the respondents within a week and the respondents may file reply to the petition within four weeks thereafter, with an advance copy to the petitioner.
 5. The next date of hearing shall be informed to the parties in due course.
 6. Ordered accordingly.

Sd/-
(B.P. Singh)
Member