



Delhi Electricity Regulatory Commission
Viniyamak Bhawan, 'C' Block, Shivalik, Malviya Nagar, New Delhi – 17.

No. F.11(993)/DERC/2013-14/3873

Petition No. 22/2013

In the matter of : **Determination of Promotional Tariff for Urban/Municipal Waste to Power and directions to the Distribution Licensees for fulfilment of Renewable Purchase Obligation.**

M/s Timarpur-Okhla Waste Management Co. Ltd.

Through its: Director

28, Shivaji Marg,

New Delhi 110 015

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

1. BSES Rajdhani Power Limited
Through its : CEO
BSES Bhawan, Nehru Place,
New Delhi-110019.
2. BSES Yamuna Power Ltd.
Through its: CEO
Shakti Kiran Building,
Karkardooma
New Delhi – 110 092.
3. Tata Power Delhi Distribution Ltd.
Through its: Managing Director
33Kv Sub Station Building,
Hudson Lane,
Delhi 110 009.
4. The Secretary
New Delhi Municipal Council
Palika Kendra, Sansad Marg
New Delhi 100 001
5. Delhi Transco Limited
Shakti Sadan, Kotla Road,
New Delhi 110 002

....Respondents

Coram: Sh. B.P. Singh, Member

Appearance:

1. Mr. Nilava Bandhopadhyaya, Adv., TOWMCL
2. Mr. Tushar Roy, Adv., TOWMCL
3. Mr. Aishwarya Mishra, Adv., TOWMCL
4. Mr. Buddy A Ranganathan, Adv., BRPL
5. Mr. Rahul Kinra, Adv. BRPL
6. Mr. Ashutosh Kr. Srivastava, Adv., BRPL
7. Mr. Alok Shankar, Adv., TPDDL
8. Mr. S K Chaturvedi, Adv., DTL
9. Mr. Irfan Ahmad, TOWMCL
10. Mr. Neelesh Gupta, TOWMCL
11. Ms. Megha Bajpai, BRPL

12. Mr. Kanishk, BRPL
13. Mr. Haridas Maity, BYPL
14. Ms. Aditi Sanghi, TPDDL
15. Mr. Varun Sharma, TPDDL
16. Mr. S Sharma, TPDDL
17. Mr. Ajay Kumar Sharma, DTL
18. Mr. P K Shandilya, DTL

INTERIM ORDER

(Date of Hearing: 29.05.2018)

(Date of Order: 01.06.2018)

1. The instant petition has been filed by the petitioner for determination of promotional Tariff for energy from Urban/Municipal Waste for its plant, directions to the Distribution Licensees for fulfilment of Renewable Purchase Obligation, modification of EPA etc.
2. The proxy counsel for the petitioner submitted that the pleadings are complete in the matter and further sought adjournment since the arguing counsel was unable to attend the hearing.
3. It is observed that the petitioner has on various occasions, whenever the matter has been listed asked for an adjournment. However, considering the request of the proxy counsel, a last opportunity is being given to the petitioner and the matter is adjourned. It is also made clear that in future no such opportunity for adjournment will be granted and the matter will be proceeded ex-parte.
4. The next date of hearing will be informed to the parties in due course.
5. Ordered accordingly.

Sd/-
(B.P. Singh)
Member