

Delhi Electricity Regulatory Commission

Viniyamak Bhawan, 'C' Block, Shivalik, Malviya Nagar, New Delhi – 110017

F F.11 (1505)/DERC/2017-18

Petition No. 37/2017

Under section 142 of the Electricity Act, 2003

In the matter of:

Ms. Neelam Gupta,
W/o Shri Manoj Gupta,
Kh. No. 557, Lal Dora,
Mandoli, Delhi – 110093

.....**Complainant**

VERSUS

BSES Yamuna Power Ltd.
Through its: **CEO**
Shakti Kiran Building,
Karkardooma
New Delhi – 110092

.....**Respondent**

Coram: Sh. B.P. Singh, Member.

Appearance:

1. Shri Suraj Prakash, on behalf of the Petitioner.
2. Shri Manish Srivastava, Advocate for Respondent;
3. Shri Shagun, Advocate for Respondent.
4. Shri I U Siddiqui, BYPL.
5. Shri Sachin Shisodia, BYPL.
6. Shri Tajender Kr., BYPL.

INTERIM ORDER

(Date of Hearing: 25.01.2018)

(Date of Order: 30.01.2018)

1. The instant petition has been filed by Ms. Neelam Gupta under Section 142 of the Electricity Act, 2003 against BSES Yamuna Power Ltd. for violation of the procedure by way of not providing new electricity connection as laid down in Regulations of the Delhi Electricity Regulatory Supply Code and Performance Standards Regulations, 2007.
2. The matter was heard on 25.01.2018. The representative appearing on behalf of the Petitioner made the following submissions:

- a) that the Petitioner had applied for two new electricity connections of 1Kw each for Domestic and Non – domestic purpose vide application No. 8002404993 dated 13.06.2016;
 - b) that the Respondent has issued the rejection letter on account of outstanding dues of Rs. 11,27,883/- against premises of CA no. 100006933;
 - c) that the outstanding dues are against CA no. 100006933 in the name of Mr. Vijay Kumar Arora whose property is 557-A, Mandoli, Shahdara, Delhi -93, whereas the Petitioner is residing in Khasra No. 557 , Lal Dora, Mandoli, Shahdara, Delhi -93. Therefore, the dues are not against the Petitioner's premises.
3. The allegation of the Petitioner was refuted by the counsel of the Respondent by stating that the outstanding dues indeed is against the Petitioner's premises, which can be proved.
 4. Both the parties are directed to meet on 30.01.2018 at 11:00 am in the office of the Respondent to sort out the issue of outstanding dues against the premises and to submit their written submission within two weeks thereafter.
 5. The matter was adjourned. The next date of hearing shall be intimated to the parties in due course.
 6. Ordered accordingly.

Sd/-
(B. P. Singh)
Member