



Delhi Electricity Regulatory Commission
Viniyamak Bhawan, 'C' Block, Shivalik, Malviya Nagar, New Delhi – 17

No. F. 11(1046)/DERC/2013-14/

Petition No. 49/2013

In the matter of: **Petition filed pursuant to directions of Hon'ble Commission and Tariff Order(s) (i) seeking allowance of incentive earned from efficient performance of Petitioner in maintenance of street lights works carried out by the Petitioner in its area of supply for the period FY 2007-2012 (ii) correction of NTI amounts in various ARR's /True up orders filed by TPDDL.**

Tata Power Delhi Distribution Ltd.
Through its Managing Director
Grid Sub Station Building
Hudson Lines, Kingsway Camp,
Delhi 110 009

....Petitioner

Vs.

North Delhi Municipal Corporation
Through: its CLO
17th Floor, Dr. SPM Marg,
Civic Centre, Minto Road
New Delhi 110002

...Respondent

Coram: Sh. B.P. Singh, Member

Appearance:

1. Mr. Manish Srivastava, Advocate, TPDDL
2. Mr. B P Aggarwal, Advocate, North Delhi Municipal Corporation
3. Mr. Anurag Bansal, TPDDL
4. Ms. Anukriti Jain, TPDDL
5. Mr. O.P. Singh, TPDDL
6. Mr. Yuganshu Pathak, TPDDL
7. Mr. Deepak Jain, TPDDL

INTERIM ORDER

(Date of Hearing: 26.09.2017)

(Date of Order: 06.10.2017)

1. The Counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner has inadvertently passed through the incentive earned for efficient performance by the petitioner in maintenance of street light for the period FY 2007-2012 in the ARR for various years and through the present petition it is only seeking allowance of the incentive amount for the aforesaid period to the petitioner.

2. The Counsel for the petitioner further submitted that the Respondent North MCD has already confirmed that it has paid the incentives of Rs. 4.65 Crore to the petitioner on the basis of performance therefore, the aforesaid amount of incentive may be allowed to the petitioner.
3. The Counsel for the respondent controverted by stating that the incentive to the petitioner was paid in a routine manner whereas its performance was not satisfactory.
4. The Counsel for the petitioner produced a letter from the respondent wherein it was stated that *"the monthly functionality of street light is more than 90% and upto 99.10% (max level) in the period of Apr-2007 to Mar-2012."*
5. The Counsel for the respondent requested for some time to take directions from its client and a week's time to file written statement.
6. On the request of the Respondent the Commission granted one week's time to file written statement, with an advance copy served on the Petitioner.
7. The matter is adjourned. The next date of hearing shall be informed to the parties in due course.
8. Ordered Accordingly.

Sd/-
(B.P. Singh)
Member