

Delhi Electricity Regulatory Commission
Viniyamak Bhawan, 'C' Block, Shivalik, Malviya Nagar, New Delhi- 110017

F.11 (1387)/DERC/2016-17

Petition No. 25/2016

Under section 142 of Electricity Act, 2003

In the matter of:

Shri. Ankush Bhola,
S/o Shri Om Prakash,
Shop No. G-6/67-68, Chandra Bhawan,
Nehru Place, New Delhi – 110074

.....**Complainant**

VERSUS

BSES Rajdhani Power Ltd.
Through its: **CEO**
BSES Bhawan
Nehru Place
New Delhi-110019

.....**Respondent**

Coram:

Sh. B.P. Singh, Member

Appearance:

1. Shri Vinod Kumar, Advocate for the Petitioner;
2. Shri Manoj Baka, along with the Petitioner;
3. Shri Sudhir Kumar, along with the Petitioner;
4. Shri Deepak Pathak, Advocate for Respondent.
5. Shri S Bhattacharya, GM, BRPL;
6. Shri Aruj Mathur, Manager-Legal, BRPL.

INTERIM ORDER

(Date of Hearing: 08.06.2017)

(Date of Order: 12.06.2017)

1. The instant petition has been filed by Shri Ankush Bhola, under Section 142 of the Electricity Act, 2003 against BSES Rajdhani Power Ltd. for violation of the procedure while booking a case of theft of electricity as laid down in the Delhi Electricity Regulatory Supply Code and Performance Standards Regulations, 2007.
2. The matter was heard on 08.06.2017, wherein both the parties were present. The Counsel for the Petitioner submitted that Meter No. 0004490 was installed by the officials of the Respondent in 2000 and it was working properly. However, the Inspection team booked a theft case against the above said meter and mentioned in the inspection report that fake Meter No. 2004490

was found connected at site and also mentioned that no meter was present at the premises.

3. The Counsel for the Respondent rebutted the claim of the Petitioner by stating that Meter No. 0004490 was installed on 11.06.2000 and the same was replaced on 03.12.2003 with a new meter bearing no. 27048316 which got disconnected on 02.05.2006 and was never reconnected. During inspection on 29.02.2016, Meter No. 2004490 was found installed at the premises of the petitioner. However, the same was not found in the records of the Respondent. Hence, the electricity was found being consumed directly without a legal Energy Metering Equipment through which load of the premises was found connected and running. The Petitioner could not produce any bill from 02.05.2006 till date neither any payment has been made after disconnection.
4. On the query of the Commission, whether relevant documents of replacement of old meter by New Meter No. 27048316 bearing signatures of the Consumer/representative of the consumer are available on records of the Respondent, the Counsel for the Respondent sought time to produce the documents.
5. The Commission accepted the prayer of the Respondent and adjourned the matter with a direction to the Respondent to file a report and other relevant documents of replacement of old meter by New Meter No. 27048316, within four weeks.
6. The next date of hearing shall be intimated to the parties in due course.
7. Ordered accordingly.

Sd/-
(B. P. Singh)
Member